

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/839,365

Applicant(s)

KUO ET AL.

Examiner

Vanessa Perez-Ramos

Art Unit

1765

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vanessa Perez-Ramos

(3) Princeton

(2) Yv. Richter

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Dunley

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Rejection under 112 will be withdrawn. The teachings of the Dunley reference will be reviewed upon Applicant's response (or gaps)

[Handwritten signature]

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required